

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

BEFORE THE  
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

CENTRAL ILLINOIS LIGHT COMPANY ) DOCKET NO.  
d/b/a AmerenCILCO; ) 10-0511  
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PUBLIC SERVICE )  
COMPANY d/b/a AmerenCIPS; and )  
ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY )  
d/b/a AmerenIP )  
Depreciation Petition. )

Springfield, Illinois  
Wednesday, November 10, 2010

Met, pursuant to notice, at 11:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

MR. LARRY JONES, Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

MR. EDWARD FITZHENRY  
Corporate Counsel  
1901 Chouteau Avenue  
Post Office Box 66149, Mail Code 1310  
St. Louis, Missouri 63166-6149  
Ph. (314) 554-3533

(Appearing via conference on  
behalf of Petitioners the Ameren  
Illinois Company)

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by  
Carla J. Boehl, Reporter  
CSR #084-002710



1	<u>I N D E X</u>			
2	<u>WITNESS</u>	<u>DIRECT</u>	<u>CROSS</u>	<u>REDIRECT</u>
3	(None)			<u>RECROSS</u>
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13	<u>EXHIBITS</u>			
14			<u>MARKED</u>	<u>ADMITTED</u>
15	Ameren Exhibits 1.0, 1.1, 1.2		E-docket	15
16	Ameren Exhibits 2.0, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3		E-docket	15
	Ameren Exhibits 3.0, 3.1		E-docket	15
17	ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0, 1.1		E-docket	17
19				
20				
21				
22				



1     appearances? Let the record show there are not, at  
2     least at this time.

3                     I believe the most recent filing in  
4     this case that was made on e-Docket was so-called  
5     rebuttal testimony on behalf of Ameren Illinois  
6     Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois. That was preceded by  
7     a filing by the Commission Staff of direct testimony.

8                     For purposes of providing the parties  
9     a brief opportunity to go over the scheduling in this  
10    case, we hereby go off the record.

11                    (Whereupon there was then had an  
12                    off-the-record discussion.)

13            JUDGE JONES: Back on the record. Let the  
14    record show there was an off-the-record discussion  
15    for the purposes indicated. Several scheduling items  
16    were discussed in a short period of time. One thing  
17    that will happen, among others, is that there will be  
18    a hearing date of November 17 at 10:00 a.m. That  
19    date will be available for the asking of any  
20    questions I may have of witnesses.

21                    Otherwise, I believe the parties are  
22    ready to put their testimonies and exhibits into the

1 record today, subject to filing affidavits at a later  
2 point and also subject to the witnesses possibly  
3 being available to answer any questions I may have on  
4 that hearing date.

5 I believe that the parties also have  
6 agreed to a briefing schedule to be used. Are the  
7 briefing dates, initial briefs on December 8, reply  
8 briefs on December 16, those dates being simultaneous  
9 filing dates that is applicable to both Staff and the  
10 Company? Is that the proposal?

11 MS. VON QUALEN: Yes.

12 JUDGE JONES: Is that your understanding,  
13 Mr. Fitzhenry?

14 MR. FITZHENRY: Yes, it is, Judge.

15 JUDGE JONES: All right. Let the record show  
16 that the briefing schedule just read into the record  
17 is put into effect at this time.

18 Okay. I think that brings us to the  
19 testimony. Mr. Fitzhenry, do you want to proceed  
20 with your exhibits?

21 MR. FITZHENRY: Yes, thank you, Judge. The  
22 Company moves for the admission into the record the

1 direct testimony of Ronald Stafford, Ameren Exhibit  
2 1.0. Mr. Stafford's testimony consists of 17 pages  
3 of questions and answers as well as Appendix A. We  
4 would also move for the admission of Mr. Stafford's  
5 rebuttal testimony, Ameren Exhibit 2.0, which also  
6 includes Ameren Exhibits 2.1 and 2.2. And we also  
7 move the admission of Mr. Jones' rebuttal testimony,  
8 Ameren Exhibit 3.0. I neglected to mention that  
9 Ameren Exhibit 1.0, Mr. Stafford's rebuttal  
10 testimony, is also accompanied by his exhibits Ameren  
11 Exhibit 1.1 and 1.2.

12 And as you indicated, Judge, the  
13 Company is asking leave to submit affidavits whereby  
14 these witnesses will authenticate their testimony and  
15 exhibits. Mr. Stafford's affidavit we suggest be  
16 identified for the record as Ameren Exhibit 2.3 and  
17 the affidavit on behalf of Mr. Leonard Jones be  
18 identified for the record as Ameren Exhibit 3.1.

19 So with that we would move for the  
20 admission of the aforesaid testimony and exhibits.

21 MS. VON QUALEN: Mr. Fitzhenry, just to  
22 clarify, your reference to Exhibit 1.0 was to

1 Mr. Stafford's direct testimony, correct?

2 MR. FITZHENRY: Yes, it is.

3 JUDGE JONES: Other than that clarification  
4 does Staff have any response to the motion to admit  
5 those evidentiary items?

6 MS. VON QUALEN: Staff has no objection.

7 JUDGE JONES: Let the record show that Ameren  
8 Exhibits 1.0, 1.1 and 1.2 filed on e-Docket as part  
9 of the petition on August 23, 2010, are admitted into  
10 the evidentiary record subject to the filing at a  
11 later time of an affidavit.

12 Also admitted into the evidentiary  
13 record are the rebuttal exhibits, Ameren Exhibits  
14 2.0, 2.1 and 2.2, sponsored by Mr. Stafford, and 3.0,  
15 Mr. Leonard M. Jones, those were filed on e-Docket on  
16 November 4, 2010. Those are admitted subject to the  
17 filing at a later time of affidavits.

18 (Whereupon Ameren Exhibits 1.0,  
19 1.1, 1.2, 2.0, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3,  
20 3.0 and 3.1 were admitted into  
21 evidence.)

22 As noted, these items are also



1 admitted subject to the possibility of questions  
2 being asked of one or both of those witnesses on that  
3 hearing date. Ameren will be given 14 days to file  
4 those affidavits on e-Docket. As Mr. Fitzhenry  
5 noted, the Staffford affidavit covering all his  
6 testimony will be Ameren 2.3 and Mr. Jones' will be  
7 3.1.

8 Anything else with regard to those  
9 items?

10 MR. FITZHENRY: Nothing else, Judge. Thank you  
11 very much.

12 JUDGE JONES: All right. Thank you.  
13 Commission Staff?

14 MS. VON QUALEN: Staff moves for admission into  
15 evidence of ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0, the direct  
16 testimony of Mary H. Everson which was filed  
17 electronically on October 21, 2010. And Staff also  
18 moves for admission into evidence of ICC Staff  
19 Exhibit 1.1 which is the affidavit of Mary H. Everson  
20 and which will be filed on e-Docket very shortly.

21 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Any objection to  
22 that?

1           MR. FITZHENRY:   None, Your Honor.

2           JUDGE JONES:   Let the record show that those  
3   motions are granted, again, subject to the submission  
4   of the affidavit for which leave is given to make  
5   that filing, and then also subject to the possible  
6   questioning of that witness by me, not by the  
7   Company, on that hearing date.   Staff will be given  
8   14 days to submit that affidavit on e-Docket.

9                               (Whereupon ICC Staff Exhibits  
10                              1.0 and 1.1 were admitted into  
11                              evidence.)

12                            I think that's it but let me double  
13   check.   Does Staff or Ameren have anything else for  
14   the record today before we conclude this hearing?

15           MS. VON QUALEN:   Staff doesn't have any.

16           MR. FITZHENRY:   No, we don't, Judge.

17           JUDGE JONES:   Thank you.   At this time let the  
18   record show today's hearing is over.   Our thanks to  
19   Mr. Fitzhenry's office for circulating the call-in  
20   number.

21           MR. FITZHENRY:   Judge, I would like a copy of  
22   the transcript if I didn't say so before.

1           MS. VON QUALEN: Judge, I do have one question.  
2   Is it your expectation that you would want the Ameren  
3   witnesses here in person for questions next week?  
4           JUDGE JONES: No. Any questions would be few  
5   enough in number that there would not be a necessity  
6   to have those witnesses travel to the hearing for  
7   that. So that could be done by phone, and  
8   participation by phone by others will be permitted,  
9   too, which would be Staff counsel, I suppose, would  
10   be the only other by-phone participant.  
11                    But in any event, assuming no  
12   objection, which I will check on here shortly,  
13   participation by phone by all of the above would be  
14   permissible.  
15                    Is there any objection to proceeding  
16   in that manner?  
17           MS. VON QUALEN: No.  
18           MR. FITZHENRY: No, not at all, Judge.  
19           JUDGE JONES: All right. Thank you. So the  
20   witnesses may participate by phone and Mr. Fitzhenry  
21   and Ms. Sara.  
22           MR. FITZHENRY: Judge, we will set up the

1     telephone conference once more.

2             JUDGE JONES: All right. Thank you. Anything  
3     else? All right. Let the record show no reply to  
4     that question.

5                     At this time then let the record show  
6     today's hearing is over. In accordance with the  
7     above, this matter is continued to a hearing date of  
8     November 17 at the hour of 10:00 a.m.

9                             (Whereupon the hearing in this  
10                            matter was continued until  
11                            November 17, 2010, at 10:00 a.m.  
12                            in Springfield, Illinois.)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22